Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—Has such a petition been received by the Chief Medical Officer?

Mr. M. P. Cherian.—The question is whether it was received by the Chairman. The Chief Medical Officer is not the Chairman. We do not know whether it has been received by the Chief Medical Officer.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—May I know whether the Chief Medical Officer has received this petition?

Dr. D. Raghavendra Rao.—There were several petitions from the practitioners. I do not know which petition the Hon'ble Member refers to.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—Petition dated 27th Dhanu from Mr. T. C. Iyyukutty and others.

Dr. D. Raghavendra Rao.—No.

Sub-treasury at Nemmara—desirability of providing for.

319. **Mr. R. Viswanathan Chettiar.**—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the total amount of travelling expenses borne by each department in the Nemmara Firkah to remit and receive the amount from the Chittur Treasury last year;

(b) will the Government be pleased to open a sub-treasury at Nemmara considering the expenses and the inconvenience caused to the public and the Government?

Mr. M. P. Cherian.—(a) A statement containing the available information is given below:

Department.	*Amount.*		
	Rs.	As.	P.
Land Revenue	430	0	0
Excise	20	0	0
Panchayat	30	8	0
Police	13	0	0
Agriculture	41	4	0
Education	116	14	0
Industries	44	14	0
Registration	36	4	0

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Medical	37	8	0
Public Health	23	0	0
Forests	10	0	0
Public Works	24	4	0

(b) There is no scope for a sub-treasury at Nemmara.

320. Mr. R. Viswanathan Chettiar.—Will the Government bo pleased to bestow their immediate attention in the matter of providing for a sub-treasury in Nemmara in view of the fact that much difficulty and hardships are encountered by the people at large and officers in Nemmara?

Mr. M. P. Cherian.—Government consider that there is no scope for a sub-treasury at Nemmara.

Panchayat summons—method of service.

321. Mr. T. C. Iyyukutty.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) how Panchayat summons are served on the parties residing in other villages;

(2) how the Panchayat summons in the Kattakampal Group Panchayat case No. 50 of 1110 has been served on the defendant one Kangu residing in Mangad village;

(3) the explanation given by the Mangad village officer for not serving the summons;

(4) the action taken by the Diwan Peishkar in the matter?

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon.—(1) As laid down in Diwan Peishkar's Circular dated 5th Mithunam 1092, a copy of which is appended *(Appendix IV)*.

(2) The Parvathyam of Mangad has been directed to follow the Circular.

(3) He took shelter under the provisions of section 34 of the Cochin Village Panchayat Regulation of 1089.

(4) He has been fined.

Construction of Velloor-Mundoor Panchayat road.

322. Mr. K. Ashtamoorthi Nambudiripad.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when the construction of the Velloor-Mundur Panchayat road was completed;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly